pp.1–19 (col.fig.: NIL) <b>3B2</b> PAGN: KGU SCAN: PRASHANTH
--

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ROBUST AND NONLINEAR CONTROL Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 2006: 16: 000-000

Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/rnc.1075

#### 5 Nonsingular path following control of a unicycle in the presence of parametric modelling uncertainties 7

L. Lapierre<sup>1,\*,†</sup>, D. Soetanto<sup>2,‡</sup> and A. Pascoal<sup>2,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Computer Science, Robotics, and Microelectronics (LIRMM), Underwater Robotics Division, 161 Rue Ada, 34392 Montpellier, Cedex 5, France

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Systems and Robotics and Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

## **SUMMARY**

A new type of control law is derived to steer the dynamic model of a wheeled robot of unicycle type along a 19 desired path. The methodology adopted for path following control deals explicitly with vehicle dynamics and plant parameter uncertainty. Furthermore, it overcomes stringent initial condition constraints that are present in a number of path following control strategies described in the literature. This is done by 21 controlling explicitly the rate of progression of a 'virtual target' to be tracked along the path, thus bypassing the problems that arise when the position of the virtual target is simply defined by the projection 23 of the actual vehicle on that path. In the paper, a nonlinear adaptive control law is derived that yields convergence of the (closed-loop system) path following error trajectories to zero. Controller design relies on Lyapunov theory and backstepping techniques. Simulation results illustrate the performance of the 25 control system proposed. Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

- 27 KEY WORDS: path following; wheeled robots; adaptive control; backstepping
- 29

31

1

3

9

11

13

15

17

- - 1. INTRODUCTION
- The problem of motion control of autonomous vehicles (including air, land, and marine robots) 33 has received considerable attention during the last few years. The problems addressed in the literature can be roughly classified in three groups: 35
- 1. *point stabilization*—the goal is to stabilize the vehicle at a given point, with a given ori-37 entation;
- 39

41

47

- \*Correspondence to: L. Lapierre, Laboratory of Computer Science, Robotics, and Microelectronics (LIRMM), Underwater Robotics Division, 161 Rue Ada, 34392 Montpellier, Cedex 5, France. <sup>†</sup>E-mail: lapierre@lirmm.fr
- <sup>‡</sup>E-mail: didik@isr.ist.utl.pt 43 <sup>§</sup>E-mail: antonio@isr.ist.utl.pt
- Contract/grant sponsor: EC 45 Contract/grant sponsor: Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology

Received 4 October 2005 Revised 5 January 2006 Accepted 5 March 2006

49 Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL

- 2. trajectory tracking-the vehicle is required to track a time parameterized reference, and
- 3. *path following*—the vehicle is required to converge to and follow a path, without explicit temporal specifications.
- 3 5

7

9

1

2

Point stabilization presents a true challenge to control system designers when the vehicle has nonholonomic (or nonintegrable) constraints, since there is no smooth (or even continuous) state-feedback law that will yield stability, as pointed out by Brockett [1]. To overcome this difficulty three main approaches have been proposed: smooth time-varying control laws [2–4] and discontinuous as well as hybrid feedback laws [5–9].

11 The trajectory tracking problem for fully actuated systems is now well understood and satisfactory solutions can be found in advanced nonlinear control textbooks. However, in the case 13 of underactuated vehicles, that is, when the vehicle has less actuators than state variables to be 14 tracked, the problem is still a very interesting topic of research. Linearization and feedback 15 linearization methods [10, 11], as well as Lyapunov-based control laws [2,12] have been 16 proposed.

Path following control has received relatively less attention than the other two problems. See the publications of Samson and Ait-Abderrahim [13] and Micaelli and Samson [14] for pioneering work in the area as well as Canudas de Wit *et al.* [2] and Jiang and Nijmeijer [15] and the references therein. Path following systems for marine vehicles have been reported by Encarnac, ão *et al.* [16, 17]. The underlying assumption in path following control is that the vehicle's forward speed tracks a desired speed profile, while the controller acts on the vehicle orientation to drive it to the path. Typically, smoother convergence to a path is achieved, in comparison with the performance obtained with trajectory tracking controllers, and the control
signals are less likely pushed to saturation.

This paper addresses the problem of steering the dynamic model of a wheeled robot of unicycle type along a desired path. Its main contribution is twofold: (i) it extends the results 27 obtained in Reference [14]—for kinematic models of wheeled robots—to a more general setting, in order to deal with vehicle dynamics and parameter uncertainty, and (ii) it overcomes stringent 29 initial condition constraints that are present in a number of path following control strategies described in the literature. This is done by controlling explicitly the rate of progression of a 31 'virtual target' to be tracked along the path, thus bypassing the problems that arise when the position of the virtual target is simply defined by the projection of the actual vehicle on that 33 path. This procedure avoids the *singularities* that occur when the distance to path is not well defined and allows for a proof of global convergence of the actual path of the vehicle to the 35 desired path. This is in striking contrast with the results described in Reference [14] for example, where only local convergence has been proven. To the best of the authors' knowledge, the idea 37 of exploring the extra degree of freedom that comes from controlling the motion of a virtual target along a path appeared for the first time in the work of Aicardi et al. [18] for the control of 39 wheeled robots. The circle of ideas exploited in Reference [18] was extended to deal with marine craft control in Reference [19]. However, none of these references addresses the issues of vehicle 41 dynamics and parameter uncertainty. Furthermore, the methodologies adopted in References [18, 19] for control system design build on an entirely different technique that requires the 43 introduction of a nonsingular transformation in the original error space. Interestingly enough, a recent publication, by del Rio et al. [20] explores the same concept of a virtual target for path 45 following of wheeled robots.

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

**Rnc 1075** 

## ADAPTIVE, NONSINGULAR PATH FOLLOWING, CONTROL OF DYNAMIC UNICYCLE

3

In this paper, controller design builds on the work reported in Reference [14] on path following control and relies heavily on Lyapunov-based backstepping techniques established by Krstić *et al.* [21] in order to extend kinematic control laws to a dynamic setting. Parameter uncertainties are dealt with in an adaptive framework by augmenting Lyapunov candidate functions with terms that are quadratic in the parameter errors. See Reference [5], where identical techniques were used in the design of an adaptive control law to steer the dynamic model of a wheeled robot to a point, with a desired orientation, in the presence of parameter uncertainty.

9 The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the problem of path following control for a wheeled robot of unicycle type. Section 3 develops a nonlinear, adaptive, path following 11 control law to deal with vehicle dynamics and parameter uncertainty. The performance of the control system proposed is illustrated in simulation in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 contains the 13 conclusions and describes some problems that warrant further research.

- 15
- 17

23

25

## 2. PATH FOLLOWING CONTROL. PROBLEM FORMULATION

This section reviews the dynamic model of a wheeled robot and provides a rigorous formulation of the problem of steering it along a desired path. The reader is referred to References [5, 14] for background material.

The following assumptions are made regarding the robot, see Figure 1. The vehicle has two identical parallel, nondeformable rear wheels which are controlled by two independent motors, and a steering front wheel. It is assumed that the plane of each wheel is perpendicular to the





Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

4

L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL

ground and that the contact between the wheels and the ground is pure rolling and nonslipping,
 i.e. the velocity of the centre of mass of the robot is orthogonal to the rear wheels axis. It is
 further assumed that the masses and inertias of the wheels are negligible and that the centre of mass of the mobile robot is located in the middle of the axis connecting the rear wheels. Each
 rear wheel is powered by a motor which generates a control torque τ<sub>i</sub>; i = 1, 2.

## 7 2.1. Kinematic equations of motion. The Serret–Frenet frame

The solution to the problem of path following derived in Reference [14] admits an intuitive 9 explanation: a path following controller should look at (i) the distance from the vehicle to the path and (ii) the angle between the vehicle velocity vector and the tangent to the path, and 11 reduce both to zero. This motivates the development of the kinematic model of the vehicle in terms of a Serret-Frenet frame  $\{F\}$  that moves along the path;  $\{F\}$  plays the role of the body 13 axis of a 'virtual target vehicle' that should be tracked by the 'real vehicle'. Using this set-up, the abovementioned distance and angle become the coordinates of the error space where the control 15 problem is formulated and solved. In this paper, motivated by the work in Reference [14], a Frenet frame  $\{F\}$  that moves along the path to be followed is used with a significant dif-17 ference: the Frenet frame is not attached to the point on the path that is closest to the vehicle. Instead, the origin of  $\{F\}$  along the path is made to evolve according to a conveniently defined 19 function of time, effectively yielding an extra controller design parameter. As it will be seen, this seemingly simple procedure allows to lift the stringent initial condition constraints that arise 21 with the path following controlled described in References [14]. The notation that follows is by now standard. See for example References [13, 14].

<sup>23</sup> Inow standard, see for example Kelefences [13, 14]. <sup>25</sup> Consider Figure 1, where *P* is an arbitrary point on the path to be followed and *Q* is the centre <sup>25</sup> of mass of the moving vehicle. Associated with *P*, consider the corresponding Serret–Frenet <sup>26</sup> frame {*F*}. The signed curvilinear abscissa of *P* along the path is denoted *s*. Clearly, *Q* can either <sup>27</sup> be expressed as  $\mathbf{q} = (X, Y, 0)$  in a selected inertial reference frame {*I*} or as  $(s_1, y_1, 0)$  in {*F*}. <sup>28</sup> Stated equivalently, *Q* can be given in (X, Y) or  $(s_1, y_1)$  coordinates (see Figure 1). Let the <sup>29</sup> position of point *P* in {*I*} be vector **p**. Let

31  
33  

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_c & \sin \theta_c & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_c & \cos \theta_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the rotation matrix from  $\{I\}$  to  $\{F\}$ , parameterized locally by the angle  $\theta_c$ . Define  $\omega_c = \dot{\theta}_c$ . Then,

39

41

$$\omega_c = \dot{\theta}_c = c_c(s)\dot{s}$$
$$\dot{c}_c(s) = g_c(s)\dot{s}$$
(1)

where  $c_c(s)$  and  $g_c(s) = dc_c(s)/ds$  denote the path curvature and its derivative, respectively. The velocity of P in  $\{I\}$  can be expressed in  $\{F\}$  to yield

$$\begin{pmatrix} 43\\ 45 \end{pmatrix}_{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{s}\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



5

It is also straightforward to compute the velocity of Q in  $\{I\}$  as 1

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{q}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{I} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{I} + \mathbf{R}^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{F} + \mathbf{R}^{-1} (\omega_{c} \times \mathbf{r})$$

where **r** is the vector from P to Q. Multiplying the above equation on the left by **R** gives the 5 velocity of Q in  $\{I\}$  expressed in  $\{F\}$  as

$$\mathbf{R}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{q}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{I} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{F} + \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{F} + \omega_{c} \times \mathbf{r}$$

9 Using the relations

11  
13  
15  
17  

$$\begin{pmatrix} d\mathbf{q} \\ dt \end{pmatrix}_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 17\\ dt \end{pmatrix}_F = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

31

and

3

7

13  
13  
13  
14  
15  
17  
17  
19  
19  
21  
23  
25  

$$(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt})_{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{s}_{1} \\ \dot{y}_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt})_{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{s}_{1} \\ \dot{y}_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\omega_{c} \times \mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\theta} = c_{c}(s)\dot{s} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} s_{1} \\ y_{1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[-c_{c}(s)\dot{s}y_{1}]$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 29 \end{array} = \begin{bmatrix} c_c(s)\dot{s}s_1\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Equation (2) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{R}\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X}\\ \dot{Y}\\ 0\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{s}(1-c_c(s)y_1) + \dot{s}_1\\ \dot{y}_1 + c_c(s)\dot{s}s_1\\ 0\end{bmatrix}$$

Solving for  $\dot{s}_1$  and  $\dot{y}_1$  yields

$$\begin{array}{c}
37 \\
39 \\
41 \\
43
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\dot{s}_{1} = \left[\cos \theta_{c} \quad \sin \theta_{c}\right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \end{array} \right] - \dot{s}(1 - c_{c}y_{1}) \\
\dot{y}_{1} = \left[-\sin \theta_{c} \quad \cos \theta_{c}\right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \end{array} \right] - c_{c}\dot{s}s_{1}$$
(2)

At this point it is important to notice that in Reference [14]  $s_1 = 0$  for all t, since the location of point P is defined by the projection of Q on the path, assuming the projection is well defined. One is then forced to solve for  $\dot{s}$  in the equation above. However, by doing so  $1 - c_c y_1$  appears in

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 2006; 16:000-000

45



L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL

- 1 the denominator, thus creating a singularity at  $y_1 = 1/c_c$ . As a result, the control law derived in Reference [14] requires that the initial position of Q be restricted to a tube around the path, the 3 radius of which must be less than  $1/c_{c,max}$ , where  $c_{c,max}$  denotes the maximum curvature of the path. Clearly, this constraint is very conservative since the occurrence of a large  $c_{c,max}$  in even a 5 very small section of the path only will impose a rather strict constraint on the initial vehicle's position, no matter where it starts with respect to that path.
- By making  $s_1$  not necessarily equal to zero, a virtual target that is not coincident with the projection of the vehicle on the path is created, thus introducing an extra degree of freedom for controller design. By specifying how fast the newly defined target moves, the occurrent of a singularity at  $y_1 = 1/c_c$  is removed. The velocity of the unicycle in the  $\{I\}$  frame satisfies the equation
- $\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \end{bmatrix} = v \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_m \\ \sin \theta_m \end{bmatrix}$ (3)
- 15 where  $\theta_m$  and v denote the yaw angle of the vehicle and its body-axis speed, respectively. Substituting (3) in (2) and introducing the variable  $\theta = \theta_m - \theta_c$  gives the kinematic model of the unicycle in the (s, y) coordinates is given by

17  $\dot{s}_{1} = -\dot{s}(1 - c_{c}y_{1}) + v\cos\theta$ 19  $\dot{y}_{1} = -c_{c}\dot{s}s_{1} + v\sin\theta$ 21  $\dot{\theta} = \omega_{m} - c_{c}\dot{s}$ (4)

where  $\omega_m = \dot{\theta}_m$ .

6

- 2.2. Dynamics. Problem formulation
- 25 The complete dynamic model of the unicycle is obtained by augmenting (4) with the equations

 $\dot{v} = \frac{F}{m}$  $\dot{\omega} = \dot{\omega}_m - c_c \ddot{s} - g_c \dot{s}^2$ 

27

23

- 29
- where  $\dot{\omega}_m = N/I$  and *m* and *I* are the mass and the mass moment of inertia of the unicycle, respectively. Notice how equation  $\dot{v} = F/m$  captures the fact that the motion of the unicycle is along its longitudinal axis, in reaction to the longitudinal force *F*. This a consequence of the common assumption that there is no slippage of the vehicle along its lateral axis (nonholonomic constraint).

Finally, F and N can be rewritten in terms of the control inputs  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  as

$$F = \frac{(\tau_1 + \tau_2)}{R}$$
$$N = \frac{L(\tau_1 - \tau_2)}{R}$$

37 39

41

35

where R is the radius of the rear wheels and 2L is the distance between them.

With the above notation, the problem under study can be formulated as follows: Given a desired speed profile  $v_d(t) > v_{\min} > 0$  for the vehicle speed v, and a path to be followed parameterized in terms of its length, derive a feedback control law for  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  to drive  $y_1$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $v - v_d$  asymptotically to zero in the presence of plant parameter uncertainties in *m*, *I*, *R*, and *L*.

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 2006; 16:000-000

(5)

(6)

## ADAPTIVE, NONSINGULAR PATH FOLLOWING, CONTROL OF DYNAMIC UNICYCLE

1

## 3. NONLINEAR CONTROL DESIGN

This section introduces a nonlinear closed-loop control law to steer the dynamic model of a wheeled robot described by (4)–(5) along a desired path, in the presence of parametric uncertainties by resorting to backstepping techniques. The reader will find in Reference [21] a lucid exposition of interesting theoretical and practical issues involved in backstepping. See also
 Reference [5] for related work on wheeled robot control in the face of parameter uncertainty. Controller design unfolds in three basic steps where a sequence of Lyapunov functions are built to deal with kinematics, dynamics, and parameter uncertainty in succession.

## 11 *3.1.* Nonlinear controller design using the kinematic model

The analysis that follows in inspired by the work in Reference [13,14] on path following control for kinematic models of wheeled robots. Recall from the problem definition in Section 2 that the main objective of the path following control law is to drive  $y_1$  and  $\theta$  to zero. Starting at the kinematic level, these objectives can be embodied in the Lyapunov function candidate, see Reference [14].

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2}(s_1^2 + y_1^2) + \frac{1}{2\gamma}(\theta - \delta(y_1, \nu))^2$$
(7)

7

where it is assumed that

A.1.  $\delta(0, v) = 0$ . A.2.  $y_1 v \sin \delta(y_1, v) \leq 0 \quad \forall y \quad \forall v$ . A.3.  $\lim_{t \to \infty} v(t) \neq 0$ .

23 25

27

29

19

21

In the  $V_1$  Lyapunov function adopted, the first term  $\frac{1}{2}(s_1^2 + y_1^2)$  captures the distance between the vehicle and the path, which must be reduced to 0. The second term aims to shape the approach angle  $\theta = \theta_m - \theta_c$  of the vehicle to the path as a function of the 'lateral' distance  $y_1$  and speed v, by forcing it to follow a desired orientation profile embodied in the function  $\delta$ . See Reference [13], where the use of a  $\delta$  function of this kind was first proposed.

Assumption A.1 specifies that the desired relative heading vanishes as  $y_1$  goes to zero, thus imposing the condition that the vehicles main axis must be tangent to the path when the lateral distance  $y_1$  is 0. Assumption A.2 provides an adequate reference sign definition in order to drive the vehicle to the path (turn left when the vehicle is on the right side of the path, and turn right in the other situation). Finally, Assumption A.3 stated that the vehicle does not tend to a state of rest. The need for these conditions will become apparent in the development that follows. The derivative of  $V_1$  can be easily computed to give

39

41

45

$$\dot{V}_1 = s_1 \dot{s}_1 + y_1 \dot{y}_1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} (\theta - \delta) (\dot{\theta} - \dot{\delta})$$

$$= s_1(v\cos\theta - \dot{s}(1 - c_c y_1) - \dot{s}c_c y_1) + y_1 v\sin\theta + \frac{1}{\gamma}(\theta - \delta)(\dot{\theta} - \dot{\delta})$$

$$= s_1(v\cos\theta - \dot{s}) + y_1v\sin\delta + \frac{1}{\gamma}(\theta - \delta)\left(\dot{\theta} - \dot{\delta} + \gamma y_1v\frac{\sin\theta - \sin\delta}{\theta - \delta}\right)$$

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



8

## L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL

1 Let the ideal (also called virtual) 'kinematic control laws' for s and  $\theta$  be defined as

$$\dot{s} = v \cos \theta + k_1 s_1$$

5

7

9

29

33

35

37

39

41

45

$$\dot{\theta} = \dot{\delta} - \gamma y_1 v \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \delta}{\theta - \delta} - k_2 (\theta - \delta) \tag{8}$$

where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are positive gains. Then,

$$\dot{V}_1 = -k_1 s_1^2 + y_1 v \sin \delta - \frac{(\theta - \delta)^2}{\gamma} \leqslant 0$$
(9)

Note the presence of the term  $y_1 v \sin \delta$  in the previous equation and how assumption A.2 is justified.

## 13 *3.2. Backstepping the dynamics*

The above feedback control law applies to the kinematic model of the wheeled robot only. In what follows, using backstepping techniques, that control law is extended to deal with the vehicle dynamics. Notice how in the kinematic design the speed of the robot v(t) was assumed to follow a *desired speed profile*, say  $v_d(t)$ . In the dynamic design this assumption is dropped, and a feedback control law must be designed so that the tracking error  $v(t) - v_d(t)$  approaches zero. Notice also that the robot's angular speed  $\omega_m$  (or equivalently the variable  $\dot{\theta}$ ) was assumed to be a control input. This assumption will be lifted by taking into account the vehicle dynamics. Following Reference [21] define the virtual control law for  $\dot{\theta}$  (desired behaviour of  $\dot{\theta}$ in (8)) as

$$\zeta = \dot{\delta} - \gamma y_1 v \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \delta}{\theta - \delta} - k_2 (\theta - \delta)$$
(10)

25 and let  $\varepsilon = \dot{\theta} - \zeta$  be the difference between actual and desired values of  $\dot{\theta}$ . Replacing  $\dot{\theta}$  by  $\varepsilon + \zeta$ in the computation of  $\dot{V}_1$  gives

$$\dot{V}_1 = -k_1 s_1^2 + y_1 v \sin \delta - \frac{(\theta - \delta)^2}{\gamma} + \frac{(\theta - \delta)}{\gamma} \varepsilon$$
(11)

31 Augment now the candidate Lyapunov function  $V_1$  with the terms  $\varepsilon^2/2$  and  $(v - v_d)^2/2$  to obtain

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon^2 + (v - v_d)^2]$$

with derivative

$$\dot{V}_2 = -k_1 s_1^2 + y_1 v \sin \delta - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\theta - \delta)^2 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} (\theta - \delta) + \dot{\varepsilon}\right) + (v - v_d) (\dot{v} - \dot{v}_d)$$

Simple computations show that if

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}(\theta - \delta) - k_3\varepsilon$$
  
$$\dot{v} = \dot{v}_d - k_4(v - v_d)$$
(12)

43 where  $k_3$  and  $k_4$  are positive gains. Then

$$\dot{V}_2 = -k_1 s_1^2 + y_1 v \sin \delta - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\theta - \delta)^2 - k_3 \varepsilon^2 - k_4 (v - v_d)^2 \le 0$$
(13)

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



It is now straightforward to compute the control inputs F and N (and thus  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ ) by solving

(14)

(16)

1

$$N = I(f_1(\cdot) - k_3\varepsilon)$$
  

$$F = m(f_2(\cdot) - k_4(v - v_d))$$
(14)

where

3

5

7

$$f_1(\cdot) = \dot{\zeta} - \frac{1}{\gamma}(\theta - \delta) + c_c \ddot{s} + g_c \dot{s}$$
$$f_2(\cdot) = \dot{v}_d$$

9 11

13

The above control law makes  $\dot{V}_2$  negative semidefinite. This fact plays an important role in the proof of convergence of the robot to the path.

#### 3.3. Choice of the approach angle $\delta(v_1, v)$ and control computation 15

As explained before, the choice of the  $\delta(y_1, v)$  function is instrumental in shaping the transient 17 maneuvers during the path approach phase. In Reference [14], the authors propose to use  $\delta(y_1, v) = -\operatorname{sign}(v)\theta_a \tanh(y_1)$ . This choice is natural, but raises some subtle mathematical 19 difficulties because  $\delta(y_1, v)$  is not differentiable with respect to v at v = 0.

We propose instead the approach function 21

the dynamics equation (5) to obtain

$$\delta(y_1, v) = -\theta_a \tanh(k_\delta y_1 v) \tag{15}$$

23 where  $0 < \theta_a < \pi/2$  and  $k_{\delta}$  is an arbitrary positive gain.

Clearly, this function satisfies the assumptions A.1 and A.2. The candidate controller pro-25 posed so far requires the computation of  $\dot{\delta}$  and  $\ddot{\delta}$  as

i

$$\delta = \delta'_y \dot{y}_1 + \delta'_y$$

$$\ddot{\delta} = \delta_{\nu}'' \dot{y}_{1}^{2} + \delta_{\nu}'' \dot{v}_{2}^{2} + \delta_{\nu}' \ddot{y}_{1} + \delta_{\nu}'' \ddot{v} + (\delta_{\nu\nu}' + \delta_{\nu\nu1}') \dot{y}_{1} \dot{v} + \delta_{\nu\nu2}' \dot{v}$$

where

$$\delta'_y = -\theta_a k_\delta v (1 - \tanh^2 (k_\delta y_1 v_2))$$

33 
$$\delta'_{\nu} = -\theta_a k_{\delta} y_1 (1 - \tanh^2(k_{\delta} y_1 \nu))$$

35 
$$\delta_y'' = \theta_a (k_\delta v)^2 2 \tanh(k_\delta y_1 v) (1 - \tanh^2(k_\delta y_1 v))$$

 $\delta_{vv2}' = \delta_v'(\sin\theta - c_c s_1 \cos\theta)$ 

37 
$$\delta_{\nu}^{\prime\prime} = \theta_a (k_{\delta} y_1)^2 2 \tanh(k_{\delta} y_1 \nu) (1 - \tanh^2(k_{\delta} y_1 \nu))$$

$$\delta'_{\nu\nu1} = -\theta_a k_\delta + \theta_a k_\delta \tanh^2(k_\delta y_1 \nu) + \theta_a k_\delta \nu 2 \tanh(k_\delta y_1 \nu) k_\delta y_1 (1 - \tanh^2(k_\delta y_1 \nu))$$

$$\delta'_{vy} = -\theta_a k_\delta + \theta_a k_\delta \tanh^2(k_\delta y_1 v) + \theta_a k_\delta y_1 2 \tanh(k_\delta y_1 v) k_\delta v (1 - \tanh^2(k_\delta y_1 v))$$
(17)

43 45

39

41

Equation (16) show that it is necessary to compute the forward acceleration  $\vec{v}$  and jerk  $\vec{v}$ . Because measuring these quantities is hard at best, one must resort to the dynamic model of the vehicle. Assume for the time being that the unicycle's physical parameters m, I, L, and R are known exactly. At this point it is convenient to redefine the control inputs  $u_i$ ; i = 1, 2 as

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



10 L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL  $u_1 = \tau_1 - \tau_2$  and  $u_2 = \tau_1 + \tau_2$ . This yields the dynamic equations (see (6)) 1  $\dot{\omega}_m = \frac{u_1}{c_1}$ 3  $\dot{v} = \frac{u_2}{c_2}$ (18)5 where  $c_1 = IR/L$  and  $c_2 = mR$  are positive parameters. With this input transformation the 7 controller can be re-written as  $u_1 = c_1(f_{u1}^1 + f_{u1}^{\dot{v}}\dot{v} + f_{u1}^{\dot{v}^2}\dot{v}^2 + f_{u1}^{\ddot{v}}\ddot{v})$ 9  $u_2 = c_2 f_{u_2}^1$ (19)11 where 13  $f_{u1}^{1} = f_{f1}^{1} - k_3 \left( \dot{\theta} - \delta_{y}' \dot{y}_1 + \gamma y_1 v \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \delta}{\theta - \delta} + k_2 (\theta - \delta) \right)$ 15  $f_{\mu 1}^{\dot{\nu}} = f_{f1}^{\dot{\nu}} + k_3 \delta'_{\nu}$ 17  $f_{u1}^{v^2} = \delta_v''$ 19  $f_{u1}^{\ddot{v}} = \delta'_{v}$ 21  $f_{v2}^{1} = \dot{v}_d - k_4(v - v_d)$  $f_{f1}^{1} = f_{\zeta}^{1} - \frac{1}{\nu}(\theta - \delta) + g_{c}\dot{s}^{2} + c_{c}(\nu\dot{\theta}\sin\theta + k_{1}\dot{s}_{1})$ 23 25  $f_{f1}^{\dot{v}} = f_{\dot{r}}^{\dot{v}} + c_c \cos \theta$ 27  $f_{\zeta}^{1} = f_{\delta}^{1} - \gamma v \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \delta}{\theta - \delta} \dot{y}_{1} + \dot{\theta} \left[ -k_{2} - \frac{\gamma v y_{1}}{(\theta - \delta)^{2}} (\cos \theta (\theta - \delta) - (\sin \theta - \sin \delta)) \right]$ 29  $+\delta'_{y}\dot{y}_{1}\left[k_{2}+\frac{\gamma\nu y_{1}}{(\theta-\delta)^{2}}(\cos\delta(\theta-\delta)-(\sin\theta-\sin\delta))\right]$ 31  $f_{\zeta}^{\psi} = f_{\delta}^{\psi} - \gamma y_1 \frac{\sin \theta - \sin \delta}{\theta - \delta} + \delta_{\nu}' \left[ k_2 + \frac{\gamma \nu y_1}{(\theta - \delta)^2} (\cos \delta(\theta - \delta) - (\sin \theta - \sin \delta)) \right]$ 33  $f_{\ddot{s}}^{1} = \delta_{v}'' \dot{y}_{1}^{2} + \delta_{v}' f_{\ddot{v}1}^{1}$ 35  $f_{\ddot{\delta}}^{\dot{\nu}} = (\delta_{\nu\nu}' + \delta_{\nu\nu1}')\dot{y}_1 + \delta_{\nu\nu2}' + \delta_{\nu}'f_{\nu1}^{\dot{\nu}}$ 37  $f_{\vec{y}1}^1 = -c_c \dot{s}\dot{s}_1 - g_c \dot{s}^2 s_1 + \dot{\theta} v \cos\theta - c_c s_1 (k_1 \dot{s}_1 - \dot{\theta} v \sin\theta)$ 39  $f_{\vec{v}1}^{\vec{v}} = \sin\theta - c_c s_1 \cos\theta$ (20)41 At this stage, assuming that the vehicle parameters are known exactly, the acceleration  $\vec{v}$  and jerk  $\ddot{v}$  are obtained from the physical model of the vehicle as 43

$$\dot{v} = \frac{u_2}{c_2} = \dot{v}_d - k_4(v - v_d), \quad \ddot{v} = \frac{\dot{u}_2}{c_2} = \ddot{v}_d - k_4(\dot{v} - \dot{v}_d) \tag{21}$$

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 2006; 16:000-000

**Rnc** 1075

45



#### 3.4. Parameter adaptation 1

We now tackle the case where the unicycle's physical parameters m, I, L, and R are 3 not known accurately. To this effect, we start by re-writing the control law obtained in (19). Let  $\bar{c}_i$  be the estimated values of the parameters, and  $c_i$  their actual unknown values. 5 Define

7 
$$u_1^{\text{opt}} = c_1 f_{u1,1} + c_3 f_{u1,2} + c_4 f_{u1,3}$$
  

$$u_2^{\text{opt}} = c_2 f_{u2}$$
(22)

with

9

11

20

33

$$f_{u1,1} = f_{u1}^1$$

$$c_3 = \frac{c_1}{c_2}$$

15  

$$f_{u1,2} = f_{u1}^{\psi} u_2 + f_{u1}^{\psi} \bar{c}_2 (\ddot{v}_d - k_4 \dot{v}_d)$$
17  

$$c_4 = \frac{c_1}{c_2^2}$$

$$c_4 = \frac{c_1}{c_2^2}$$

19  

$$f_{u1,3} = f_{u1}^{\psi^2} u_2^2 - f_{u1}^{\psi} \bar{c}_2 k$$

$$f_{u2} = \dot{v}_d - k_4(v - v_d)$$

where the notation  $u_i^{\text{opt}}$  was introduced to stress the fact that this (ideal) control law is 23 computed with the true values of the parameters. Notice how the control law depends 25 on four new parameters  $c_i$ ; i = 1, ..., 4 that are determined by the true values of the physical parameters defined above. In practice, the control law defined above must be implemented using the estimates of  $\bar{c_i}$  of  $\bar{c_i}$ ; i = 1, ..., 4 yielding the actual control 27 law

$$u_{1} = \bar{c}_{1} f_{u1,1} + \bar{c}_{3} f_{u1,2} + \bar{c}_{4} f_{u1,3}$$

$$u_{2} = \bar{c}_{2} f_{u2}$$
(24)

Let  $\Delta c_i = \bar{c}_i - c_i$ , be the errors between true and estimated parameters. Notice that  $\Delta \dot{c}_i = \dot{c}_i - \dot{c}_i = \dot{c}_i; i = 1, 2$ , since the true parameters are constant. Using (24),

$$\dot{V}_{2} = -k_{1}s_{1}^{2} + y_{1}v\sin\delta - \frac{1}{\gamma}(\theta - \delta)^{2} - k_{3}\varepsilon^{2} - k_{4}(v - v_{d})^{2} 
+ \frac{\varepsilon}{c_{1}}(\Delta c_{1}f_{u1,1} + \Delta c_{3}f_{u1,2} + \Delta c_{4}f_{u1,3}) + \frac{(v - v_{d})}{c_{2}}\Delta c_{2}f_{u2}$$
(25)

which is no longer guaranteed to be negative semidefinite. In order to deal with this 41 problem, augment  $V_3$  with the parameter error terms to obtain the final candidate Lyapunov function 43

45 
$$V_3 = V_2 + \frac{k_5}{2} \frac{\Delta c_1^2 + \Delta c_3^2 + \Delta c_4^2}{c_1} + \frac{k_6}{2} \frac{\Delta c_2^2}{c_2}$$
(26)

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 2006; 16:000-000

(23)

L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL

and compute its derivative

12

$$\dot{V}_{3} = -k_{1}s_{1}^{2} + y_{1}v\sin\delta - \frac{1}{\gamma}(\theta - \delta)^{2} - k_{3}\varepsilon^{2} - k_{4}(v - v_{d})^{2} + \frac{\Delta c_{1}}{c_{1}}(\varepsilon f_{u1,1} + k_{5}\dot{c}_{1})$$

5 
$$+\frac{\Delta c_2}{c_2}((v-v_d)f_{u2}+k_6\dot{c}_2)+\frac{\Delta c_3}{c_1}(\varepsilon f_{u1,3}+k_5\dot{c}_3)+\frac{\Delta c_4}{c_1}(\varepsilon f_{u1,4}+k_5\dot{c}_4)$$
(27)  
7

Let a parameter update law be defined as

9 
$$\dot{\bar{c}}_1 = -\frac{\varepsilon f_{u1,1}}{\kappa_c}$$

11  
13  

$$\dot{c}_2 = -\frac{(v - v_d)f_{u2}}{k_6}$$

$$\dot{c}_2 = -\frac{\varepsilon f_{u1,3}}{\varepsilon_2}$$

$$\bar{c}_3 = -\frac{5\pi}{k_s}$$

$$\dot{\bar{c}}_4 = -\frac{\varepsilon f_{u1,4}}{k_5}$$

<sup>19</sup> This choice of adaptation law cancels the nonnegative terms of 
$$\dot{V}_3$$
, yielding

$$\dot{V}_3 = -k_1 s_1^2 + y_1 v \sin \delta - \frac{1}{\gamma} (\theta - \delta)^2 - \frac{k_3}{I} \varepsilon^2 - \frac{k_4}{m} (v - v_d)^2 \leqslant 0$$
(28)

that is,  $\dot{V}_3$  becomes negative semidefinite.

## 25

23

21

3

## 27 3.5. Complete system. Convergence analysis

- 29 From the presentation above the complete adaptive, path following control system is described by the state equations
- 31 31 33 33 35 37 39 41 together with the control law  $\dot{s}_1 = -\dot{s}(1 - c_c y_1) + v \cos \theta$   $\dot{y}_1 = -c_c \dot{s}s_1 + v \sin \theta$   $\dot{\theta} = \omega$   $\dot{\omega} = \frac{u_1}{c_1} - c_c \ddot{s} - g_c \dot{s}^2$  $\dot{v} = \frac{u_2}{c_2}$ (29)
- 43

45

$$u_{1} = \bar{c}_{1}f_{u1,1} + \bar{c}_{3}f_{u1,2} + \bar{c}_{4}f_{u1,3}$$

$$u_{2} = \bar{c}_{2}f_{u2}$$
(30)

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

1

the parameter a	adaptation	law
-----------------	------------	-----

- 3  $\dot{\bar{c}}_1 = -\frac{\varepsilon f_{u1,1}}{k_5}$
- 5  $\dot{c}_2 = -\frac{(v v_d)f_{u2}}{k_6}$
- $\dot{c}_2 = -\frac{k}{k}$   $\dot{c}_3 = -\frac{kf_{u1,3}}{k_5}$ 
  - $\dot{ar{c}}_4 = -rac{arepsilon f_{u1,4}}{karepsilon}$

11 13

15

17

33

9

and the virtual target dynamics

 $\dot{s} = v \cos \theta + k_1 s_1 \tag{32}$ 

(31)

In the above equations, all functions are replaced by their estimated values. The main result of the paper is stated next.

## 19 Proposition 1

Consider the closed-loop adaptive control system given by the dynamic model of unicycle (29) driven by the control law (30), together with the adaptation scheme (31) and the virtual target dynamics (32). Let  $v_d$  be a desired velocity profile. Assume that  $v_d$  and its first- and second-order derivatives are bounded. Further assume that  $v_d$  does not tend to zero as t tends to infinity. Then  $y_1$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $v - v_d$  tend asymptotically to zero in the presence of bounded plant parameter uncertainties in m, I, R, and L. Stated differently, the robot converges to the prescribed path asymptotically, in the presence of parametric modelling uncertainties, and tracks the desired speed profile  $v_d$  asymptotically.

## 29 Proof

In what follows, we describe the main steps involved in the proof of Proposition 1. The proof builds on the partial results obtained so far and makes ample use of Barbalat's lemma stated below.

Barbalat's lemma

- Let the function f(t) admit a second-order derivative with respect to t and assume that the limit of f(t) when t tends to infinity is well defined. Further assume that  $\dot{f}(t)$  is uniformly continuous. Then  $\dot{f}(t)$  tends to 0 as t tends to  $\infty$ .
- 39 For details about the Barbalat's lemma, its proof, and application, please refer to Reference [22]. The key steps in the proof can be described as follows:
- 41
  Start by considering the positive definite Lyapunov candidate V<sub>3</sub> in (26). Straightforward computations show that the choice of the control expression (30), together with the adaptation scheme (31) and the virtual target dynamics (32) makes V<sub>3</sub> is negative semidefinite. Hence V<sub>3</sub> is a positive and monotonically decreasing function, and therefore lim<sub>t→∞</sub>V<sub>3</sub> exists and is finite.

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

	14 L. LAPIERRE, D. SOETANTO AND A. PASCOAL				
1	• It is now possible to show that $s_1, y_1, \theta, \varepsilon$ , and v are bounded because $\delta$ and $v_d$ are assute to be bounded. From the equations above it then follows that $\dot{s}_1$ , $\dot{y}_2$ , $\dot{s}_3$ and $\dot{y}_4$ are bounded.	imed			
3	as well. Based on this result, a straightforward differentiation of $V_3$ will show that $V_3$ bounded and therefore $V_3$ is uniformly continuous.	$\ddot{V}_3$ is			
5	• Finally, a simple application of Barbalat's lemma shows that $\dot{V}_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ . A consequence, the variables $s_1$ , $v_1$ , $\delta$ , $\theta$ , $\varepsilon$ and $v - v_d$ vanish as t tends to infinity,	As a thus			
7	proving that the vehicle converges to the path and tracks the desired speed pr asymptotically.	ofile			
9	Remark				
11	The errors $\Delta c_i$ , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ of the parameter estimation process do not show up in the derivative of the Lyapunov function $V_3$ . Thus, convergence of the errors to zero cannot	final ot be			
13	guaranteed. It can be shown, however that $\Delta c_i$ , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ converge to some limit values are not necessarily zero. Nevertheless, the control law still drives the required error state	that es to			
15	zero. This behaviour for the parameter estimates can be observed in a large class of adap control systems.	ptive			
17					
19	4. SIMULATION RESULTS				
21	This section illustrates the performance of the path following control law derived three simulations with a dynamic model of a wheeled robot. The reference and actual robot path	ough s are			
23	shown in Figure 2. The desired speed $v_d(t)$ was set to $1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The values of the design parameters were $v = 1$ and $k_i = 1$ ; $i = 1$ 6. The following initial conditions were adopted in				
25		04 111			
27					
29					
31	30 - Simulation 1				
33	20				
35	10 The Path				
37					
39					
41	$-20 \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{4} & 1/c_{e}^{max} constraint \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$				
43					



Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Int. J. Robust Nonlinear Control 2006; 16:000-000





Figure 3. Time history of  $s_1(t)$  and  $y_1(t)$ .

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.





wheeled robot in the presence of parameter uncertainty. The key idea behind the new control law developed was to control explicitly the rate of progression of a 'virtual target' to be tracked

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.





Figure 6. Time history of  $c_1(t)$ ,  $c_2(t)$ ,  $c_3(t)$  and  $c_4(t)$  (simulation 1: full line; Simulation 2: dashed line).

21

along the path, thus bypassing the 'singularity' problems that arise when the position of the virtual target is simply defined by the projection of the actual vehicle position on that path. Controller design relied on backstepping techniques. The paper offered a formal proof of convergence of the robot to the path. Simulation results illustrated the performance of the control system proposed. The research done in the scope of the present work unveiled some important issues that warrant further research. Among them, the following are worth emphasizing.

29 The idea of using a virtual target for path following is present, albeit in an implicit manner, in the work of Aicardi *et al.* [19], Skjetne *et al.* [23] and del Rio *et al.* [20], where a virtual target 31 control law was 'intuitively chosen' even before a path following control law was developed. The originality of the present work lies in the fact that the virtual target control law falls naturally 33 from the type of path following control law proposed, thus yielding superior performance and avoiding singularity conditions even in the presence of parameter uncertainty. This method 55 seems to be very promising. Currently, we are investigating the benefits of using the virtual 56 target vehicle principle to solve the combined problem of path following and obstacle avoidance 57 for a unicycle-type robot.

In the study adopted, access to vehicle acceleration (and jerk) was done indirectly by resorting to the vehicle dynamics. The possible criticism that such a strategy may lead to poor performance or even instability (because of model parameter uncertainty) was partially addressed by incorporating an adaptive scheme. However, in the development that followed, we tacitly assumed that external disturbances, measurement noise, and unmodelled dynamics were absent. Measuring the accelerations directly via a dedicated sensor suite and using that information in a newly designed control law has the potential to yield better performance and to simplify the complexity of the path following control scheme. However, this would certainly introduce a path for noise through the acceleration

		RNC : 1075	
	L.		
	18 L. LAPIERRE, D	). SOETANTO ANI	D A. PASCOAL
1	measurements. Clearly, this introduces studied.	a tradeoff in s	system design that must be explicitly
3	Finally, and in order to fully complete presence of actuator saturation must also	ete this study, so be addressed.	the problem of path following in the
5	1		
7	АСК	NOWLEDGEMEN	ITS
9	The first author benefited from a grant of author was supported by a post-doctoral gr nology.	the EC, under pro-	oject FREESUB. The work of the second tuguese Foundation for Science and Tech-
11			
13		REFERENCES	
15	<ol> <li>Brockett RW. Asymptotic stability and feedb RW, Millman RS, Sussman HJ (eds). Birkhäu</li> </ol>	ack stabilization. In 1ser: Boston, U.S.A.	<i>Differential Geometric Control Theory</i> , Brockett , 1983; 181–191.
17	<ol> <li>Canudas de Wit C, Khennouf H, Samson C, <i>Trend in Mobile Robots</i>, Zheng YF (ed.), vol. 1     </li> <li>Godhaun JM, Eggland Q, A, Lyapupou appress     </li> </ol>	Sordalen O. Nonlir	hear control design fir mobile robots. In: <i>Recent</i> Series in Robotics and Automated Systems, 1993.
19	<ol> <li>Goulavil JM, Egelald O. A Eyaphilov apprentive apprentite apprentite appren</li></ol>	l 1997; <b>42</b> (7):1028–10 ne-varying feedback	032. stabilization of a wheeled robot. <i>Proceedings of</i>
21	<ul> <li>International Conference ICARCV92, RO-13.1</li> <li>5. Aguiar AP, Atassi A, Pascoal AM. Regulation modeling uncertainty using Lyapunov function</li> </ul>	, Singapore, Septem n of a nonholonomic on. <i>Proceeding of Cl</i>	ber 1992. dynamic wheeled mobile robot with parametric <i>OC</i> 2000, 39th IEEE Conference on Decision and
23	<ul><li><i>Control</i>, Sydney, Australia, December 2000.</li><li>6. Aguiar AP, Pascoal AM. Stabilization of the control: an application to point stabilization of</li></ul>	e extended nonholo	nomic double integrator via logic based hybrid
25	<ul> <li><i>Robot Control</i>, Vienna, Austria, 2000.</li> <li>7. Astolf A. Exponential stabilization of a who</li> </ul>	celed mobile robot	via discontinuous control. Journal of Dynamics,
27	<ol> <li>Systems, Measurement and Control 1999; 121:</li> <li>Hespanha JP. Stabilization of nonholonomic Congress of IFAC, vol. E, San Francisco, CA</li> </ol>	121–126. integrators via logic , U.S.A., 1996; 467–	based switching. Proceedings of the 13th World 472.
29	<ol> <li>Canudas de Wit C, Sordalen O. Exponential <i>Transactions on Automatic Control</i> 1992; 37(1)</li> <li>Walsh G, Tilbury D, Sastry S, Laumond JP, Si</li> </ol>	stabilization of mob 1):1791–1797. abilization of traject	ile robots with nonholonomic constraints. <i>IEEE</i>
31	<i>IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control</i> 1994 11. Freund E, Mayr R. Nonlinear path control	in automated vehicl	e guidance. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and
33	<ul> <li>Automation 1997; 13(1):49–60.</li> <li>12. Fierro R, Lewis F. Control of a nonholonomic the 33rd Conference on Decision and Control,</li> </ul>	mobile robot: backs Florida, U.S.A., 199	tepping kinematics into dynamics. <i>Proceedings of</i> 4.
35	<ol> <li>Samson C, Ait-Abderrahim K. Mobile robot of Technical Report No. 1281, INRIA, Sophia-A</li> <li>Micaelli A, Samson C, Trajectory—tracking for</li> </ol>	control. Part 1: Feed ntipolis, France, Jun or unicycle—type and	back control of a non-holonomic mobile robots. le 1991.
37	<i>Report No. 2097</i> , INRIA, Sophia-Antipolis, N 15. Jiang Z, Nijmeijer H. A recursive technique	November 1993. for tracking control	of nonholonomic systems in the chained form.
39	16. Encarnação P, Pascoal A, Arcak M. Path fo Conference on Marine Craft Maneuvering and	; 44(2):265–279. llowing for autonor <i>Control, MCMC'00</i>	nous marine craft. <i>Proceedings of the 5th IFAC</i> , Aarlborg, Denmark, August 1992; 117–122.
41	17. Encarnaçao P, Pascoal A, Arcak M. Path f Proceedings of the 6th IFAC Symposium on R	ollowing for marine obot Control, SYRO	e vehicle in the presence of unknown currents. <i>CO'00</i> , vol. II, Vienna, Austria, December 2000;
43	<ul> <li>18. Aicardi M, Casalino G, Bicchi A, Balestine techniques. <i>IEEE Robotics and Automation M</i></li> </ul>	o A. Closed loop s <i>agazine</i> 1995; <b>2</b> (1):2	teering of unicycle-like vehicles via Lyapunov 7-35.
45	19. Aicardi M, Casalino G, Indiveri G, Aguiar	A, Encarnao P, Pas	scoal A. A planar path following controller for

45 <sup>19</sup>. Alcardi M, Casalino G, Indiveri G, Aguiar A, Encarnao P, Pascoal A. A planar path following controller for underactuated marine vehicles. *Proceedings of the MED01 9th IEEE Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation*, Dubrovnik, Croatia, June 2001.

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

RNC	ŝ	10	75
-----	---	----	----

1	20. del Rio F <i>et al.</i> A new method for tracking memorized paths: applications to unicycle robots. <i>Proceedings of the MED2002</i> , Lisbon, Portugal, July 2002.
3	<ol> <li>Krstić MI, Kanellakopoulos, Kokotovic P. Nonlinear and Adaptive Control Design. Wiley: New York, 1995.</li> <li>Slotine JJ, Li W. Applied Nonlinear Control. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1995.</li> <li>Skjetne R, Fossen T, Kokotovic P. Output maneuvering for a class of nonlinear systems. Proceedings of the IFAC</li> </ol>
5	<ul> <li>World Congress, Barcelona, Spain, 2002.</li> <li>24. Lapierre L, Soetanto D, Pascoal A. Nonlinear path following control of autonomous underwater vehicles. Proceedings of the 1st IFAC Workshop on Guidance and Control of Underwater Vehicle—GCUV'03, Newport, South</li> </ul>
7	<ul> <li>Wales, U.K., April 2003.</li> <li>25. Soetanto D, Lapierre L, Pascoal A. Control of a wheeled robot with saturating actuators. <i>ISR Internal Report</i>, Lisbon, Portugal September 2002.</li> </ul>
9	Liston, Fortagai, September 2002.
11	
13	
15	
17	
19	
21	
23	
25	
27	
29	
31	
33	
35	
37	
39	
41	
43	
45	

Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



John Wiley & Sons Ltd The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester West, Sussex PO19 85

## Author Queries For RNC 1075

While preparing this paper/manuscript for typesetting, the following queries have arisen

Query No	Proof Page / line no	Details required	Authors Response
1	REF.	Please provide publisher and place of publication for Ref. [2].	
2	REF.	Please provide initial/s if any for Kanellakopoulos in Ref. [21].	
3	2/18	'Samson' changed to 'Samson and Ait-Abderrahim'; 'Micaelli et al.' changed to 'Micaelli and Samson'. Please check if the change is okay.	
4	17/30	'Skjetne' changed to 'Skjetne et al.'. Please check if the change is okay.	
5	FIG	Please check and confirm in figure 4 whether it is dottheta or dot^t heta.	

### **COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT**

		Wiley Production No
Re:	Manuscript entitled	
(the "Contri	ibution") written by	
(the "Contri	ibutor") for publication in	

(the "Journal) published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd ("Wiley").

In order to expedite the publishing process and enable Wiley to disseminate your work to the fullest extent, we need to have this Copyright Transfer Agreement signed and returned to us with the submission of your manuscript. If the Contribution is not accepted for publication this Agreement shall be null and void.

### A. COPYRIGHT

- The Contributor assigns to Wiley, during the full term of copyright and any extensions or renewals of that term, all copyright in and to the Contribution, including but
  not limited to the right to publish, republish, transmit, sell, distribute and otherwise use the Contribution and the material contained therein in electronic and print
  editions of the Journal and in derivative works throughout the world, in all languages and in all media of expression now known or later developed, and to license or
  permit others to do so.
- 2. Reproduction, posting, transmission or other distribution or use of the Contribution or any material contained therein, in any medium as permitted hereunder, requires a citation to the Journal and an appropriate credit to Wiley as Publisher, suitable in form and content as follows: (Title of Article, Author, Journal Title and Volume/Issue Copyright © [year] John Wiley & Sons Ltd or copyright owner as specified in the Journal.)

#### **B. RETAINED RIGHTS**

Notwithstanding the above, the Contributor or, if applicable, the Contributor's Employer, retains all proprietary rights other than copyright, such as patent rights, in any process, procedure or article of manufacture described in the Contribution, and the right to make oral presentations of material from the Contribution.

## C. OTHER RIGHTS OF CONTRIBUTOR

Wiley grants back to the Contributor the following:

- 1. The right to share with colleagues print or electronic "preprints" of the unpublished Contribution, in form and content as accepted by Wiley for publication in the Journal. Such preprints may be posted as electronic files on the Contributor's own website for personal or professional use, or on the Contributor's internal university or corporate networks/intranet, or secure external website at the Contributor's institution, but not for commercial sale or for any systematic external distribution by a third party (eg: a listserver or database connected to a public access server). Prior to publication, the Contributor must include the following notice on the preprint: "This is a preprint of an article accepted for publication in [Journal title] Copyright © (year) (copyright owner as specified in the Journal)". After publication of the Contribution by Wiley, the preprint notice should be amended to read as follows: "This is a preprint of an article published in [include the complete citation information for the final version of the Contribution as published in the print edition of the Journal]" and should provide an electronic link to the Journal's WWW site, located at the following Wiley URL: http://www.interscience.wiley.com/. The Contributor agrees not to update the preprint or replace it with the published version of the Contribution.
- 2. The right, without charge, to photocopy or to transmit on-line or to download, print out and distribute to a colleague a copy of the published Contribution in whole or in part, for the Contributor's personal or professional use, for the advancement of scholarly or scientific research or study, or for corporate informational purposes in accordance with paragraph D2 below.
- 3. The right to republish, without charge, in print format, all or part of the material from the published Contribution in a book written or edited by the Contributor.
- 4. The right to use selected figures and tables, and selected text (up to 250 words) from the Contribution, for the Contributor's own teaching purposes, or for incorporation within another work by the Contributor that is made part of an edited work published (in print or electronic format) by a third party, or for presentation in electronic format on an internal computer network or external website of the Contributor or the Contributor's employer. The abstract shall not be included as part of such selected text.
- 5. The right to include the Contribution in a compilation for classroom use (course packs) to be distributed to students at the Contributor's institution free of charge or to be stored in electronic format in datarooms for access by students at the Contributor's institution as part of their course work (sometimes called "electronic reserve rooms") and for in-house training programmes at the Contributor's employer.

#### **D. CONTRIBUTIONS OWNED BY EMPLOYER**

- If the Contribution was written by the Contributor in the course of the Contributor's employment (as a "work-made-for-hire" in the course of employment), the Contribution is owned by the company/employer which must sign this Agreement (in addition to the Contributor's signature), in the space provided below. In such case, the company/employer hereby assigns to Wiley, during the full term of copyright, all copyright in and to the Contribution for the full term of copyright throughout the world as specified in paragraph A above.
- 2. In addition to the rights specified as retained in paragraph B above and the rights granted back to the Contributor pursuant to paragraph C above, Wiley hereby grants back, without charge, to such company/employer, its subsidiaries and divisions, the right to make copies of and distribute the published Contribution internally in print format or electronically on the Company's internal network. Upon payment of the Publisher's reprint fee, the institution may distribute (but not re-sell) print copies of the published Contribution externally. Although copies so made shall not be available for individual re-sale, they may be included by the company/employer as part of an information package included with software or other products offered for sale or license. Posting of the published Contribution by the institution on a public access website may only be done with Wiley's written permission, and payment of any applicable fee(s).

### **E. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS**

In the case of a Contribution prepared under US Government contract or grant, the US Government may reproduce, without charge, all or portions of the Contribution and may authorise others to do so, for official US Government purposes only, if the US Government contract or grant so requires. (Government Employees: see note at end.)

## F. COPYRIGHT NOTICE

The Contributor and the company/employer agree that any and all copies of the Contribution or any part thereof distributed or posted by them in print or electronic format as permitted herein will include the notice of copyright as stipulated in the Journal and a full citation to the Journal as published by Wiley.

#### G. CONTRIBUTOR'S REPRESENTATIONS

The Contributor represents that the Contribution is the Contributor's original work. If the Contribution was prepared jointly, the Contributor agrees to inform the co-Contributors of the terms of this Agreement and to obtain their signature(s) to this Agreement or their written permission to sign on their behalf. The Contribution is submitted only to this Journal and has not been published before, except for "preprints" as permitted above. (If excerpts from copyrighted works owned by third parties are included, the Contributor will obtain written permission from the copyright owners for all uses as set forth in Wiley's permissions form or in the Journal's Instructions for Contributors, and show credit to the sources in the Contribution.) The Contributor also warrants that the Contribution contains no libelous or unlawful statements, does not infringe on the right or privacy of others, or contain material or instructions that might cause harm or injury.

### Tick one box and fill in the appropriate section before returning the original signed copy to the Publisher

	Contributor-owned work			
	Contributor's signature		Date	
	Type or print name and title			
	Co-contributor's signature		Date	
	Type or print name and title			
		Attach additional signature page as necessary		
	Company/Institution-owned work (made hire in the course of employment)	p-for-		
	Contributor's signature		Date	
	Type or print name and title			
	Company or Institution (Employer-for Hire)			
	Authorised signature of Employer		Date	
	Type or print name and title			
П	US Government work			

Note to US Government Employees

A Contribution prepared by a US federal government employee as part of the employee's official duties, or which is an official US Government publication is called a "US Government work", and is in the public domain in the United States. In such case, the employee may cross out paragraph A1 but must sign and return this Agreement. If the Contribution was not prepared as part of the employee's duties or is not an official US Government publication, it is not a US Government work.

### UK Government work (Crown Copyright)

#### Note to UK Government Employees

The rights in a Contribution by an employee of a UK Government department, agency or other Crown body as part of his/her official duties, or which is an official government publication, belong to the Crown. In such case, the Publisher will forward the relevant form to the Employee for signature.

## WILEY AUTHOR DISCOUNT CARD

As a highly valued contributor to Wiley's publications, we would like to show our appreciation to you by offering a **unique 25% discount** off the published price of any of our books\*.

To take advantage of this offer, all you need to do is apply for the **Wiley Author Discount Card** by completing the attached form and returning it to us at the following address:

The Database Group John Wiley & Sons Ltd The Atrium Southern Gate Chichester West Sussex PO19 8SQ UK

In the meantime, whenever you order books direct from us, simply quote promotional code **S001W** to take advantage of the 25% discount.

The newest and quickest way to order your books from us is via our new European website at:

# http://www.wileyeurope.com

Key benefits to using the site and ordering online include:

- Real-time SECURE on-line ordering
- The most up-to-date search functionality to make browsing the catalogue easier
- Dedicated Author resource centre
- E-mail a friend
- Easy to use navigation
- Regular special offers
- Sign up for subject orientated e-mail alerts

So take advantage of this great offer, return your completed form today to receive your discount card.

Yours sincerely,

Vhear

Verity Leaver E-marketing and Database Manager

#### **\*TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

This offer is exclusive to Wiley Authors, Editors, Contributors and Editorial Board Members in acquiring books (excluding encyclopaedias and major reference works) for their personal use. There must be no resale through any channel. The offer is subject to stock availability and cannot be applied retrospectively. This entitlement cannot be used in conjunction with any other special offer. Wiley reserves the right to amend the terms of the offer at any time.

# REGISTRATION FORM FOR 25% BOOK DISCOUNT CARD

To enjoy your special discount, tell us your areas of interest and you will receive relevant catalogues or leaflets from which to select your books. Please indicate your specific subject areas below.

Accounting	[]	Architecture	[]
<ul><li>Public</li><li>Corporate</li></ul>	[]	Business/Management	[]
Chemistry Analytical Industrial/Safety Organic Inorganic Polymer Spectroscopy	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	<ul> <li>Computer Science</li> <li>Database/Data Warehouse</li> <li>Internet Business</li> <li>Networking</li> <li>Programming/Software Development</li> <li>Object Technology</li> </ul>	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
<ul> <li>Encyclopedia/Reference</li> <li>Business/Finance</li> <li>Life Sciences</li> <li>Medical Sciences</li> <li>Physical Sciences</li> <li>Technology</li> </ul>	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	<ul> <li>Engineering</li> <li>Civil</li> <li>Communications Technology</li> <li>Electronic</li> <li>Environmental</li> <li>Industrial</li> <li>Mechanical</li> </ul>	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
Earth & Environmental Science Hospitality	[]	<ul> <li>Finance/Investing</li> <li>Economics</li> <li>Institutional</li> <li>Personal Finance</li> </ul>	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]
<ul> <li>Genetics</li> <li>Bioinformatics/Computational Biology</li> <li>Proteomics</li> <li>Genomics</li> <li>Gene Mapping</li> <li>Clinical Genetics</li> </ul>	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	Life Science Landscape Architecture Mathematics/Statistics Manufacturing Material Science	[] [] [] []
<ul> <li>Medical Science</li> <li>Cardiovascular</li> <li>Diabetes</li> <li>Endocrinology</li> <li>Imaging</li> <li>Obstetrics/Gynaecology</li> <li>Oncology</li> <li>Pharmacology</li> <li>Psychiatry</li> </ul>	[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]	<ul> <li>Psychology</li> <li>Clinical</li> <li>Forensic</li> <li>Social &amp; Personality</li> <li>Health &amp; Sport</li> <li>Cognitive</li> <li>Organizational</li> <li>Developmental and Special Ed</li> <li>Child Welfare</li> <li>Self-Help</li> </ul>	
Non-Profit	[]	Physics/Physical Science	[]

[] I confirm that I am a Wiley Author/Editor/Contributor/Editorial Board Member of the following publications:

# SIGNATURE: ..... PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING DETAILS IN BLOCK CAPITALS: TITLE AND NAME: (e.g. Mr, Mrs, Dr) ..... JOB TITLE: ..... DEPARTMENT: ..... COMPANY/INSTITUTION: ..... ADDRESS: ..... TOWN/CITY: ..... COUNTY/STATE: ..... COUNTRY: ..... POSTCODE/ZIP CODE: ..... DAYTIME TEL: ..... FAX: ..... E-MAIL: .....

YOUR PERSONAL DATA

We, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, will use the information you have provided to fulfil your request. In addition, we would like to:

- Use your information to keep you informed by post, e-mail or telephone of titles and offers of interest to you and available from us or other Wiley Group companies worldwide, and may supply your details to members of the Wiley Group for this purpose.
- [] Please tick the box if you do not wish to receive this information
- 2. Share your information with other carefully selected companies so that they may contact you by post, fax or e-mail with details of titles and offers that may be of interest to you.
- [] Please tick the box if you do not wish to receive this information.

If, at any time, you wish to stop receiving information, please contact the Database Group (<u>databasegroup@wiley.co.uk</u>) at John Wiley & Sons Ltd, The Atrium, Southern Gate, Chichester, West Sussex PO19 8SQ, UK.

## E-MAIL ALERTING SERVICE

We offer an information service on our product ranges via e-mail. If you do not wish to receive information and offers from John Wiley companies worldwide via e-mail, please tick the box [].

This offer is exclusive to Wiley Authors, Editors, Contributors and Editorial Board Members in acquiring books (excluding encyclopaedias and major reference works) for their personal use. There should be no resale through any channel. The offer is subject to stock availability and may not be applied retrospectively. This entitlement cannot be used in conjunction with any other special offer. Wiley reserves the right to vary the terms of the offer at any time.

## Ref: S001W